UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Recent Changes and Proposals for AIA Trials

Presenters: Acting Chief Judge Scott Boalick, Acting Deputy Chief Judge Jackie Bonilla, Vice Chief Judge Scott Weidenfeller

November 6, 2018



Overview

- Claim Construction Final Rule (Acting Chief Judge Scott Boalick)
- AIA Trial Practice Guide (ACJ Boalick)
- SOP 1: Judge Panels (ACJ Boalick)
- SOP 2: Precedential Opinion Panel; Designation or De-designation of Decisions (Vice Chief Judge Scott Weidenfeller)
- Motion to Amend Practice (Acting Deputy Chief Judge Jackie Bonilla)


Question/Comment Submission

To send in questions or comments during the webinar, please email:


PTABBoardsideChat@uspto.gov



Slides







Statistics

About PTAB

PTAB Help

Petitions

PTAB Events

Details about programs produced by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. Such events include Chats with the Chief, the Annual Judicial Conference, Boardside Chats, and Stadium Tour stops at law schools.

You can also view the <u>PTAB events calendar</u> to view all PTAB events past and



Boardside Chats webinar series

PTAB speakers share best practices by webinar

Chat with the Chief webinar series

The chief judge engages in a two-way conversation on the PTAB with stakeholders by webinar

PTAB Judicial Conference

Coming soon, details about a planned PTAB-hosted conference

PTAB/TTAB Live Hearing Stadium Tour

Educational sessions delivered by PTAB judges being held at law schools throughout the country

Request a speaker

We welcome requests to speak to the public about USPTO priorities and initiatives. To request a PTAB judge to speak at your event, please complete our speaking request form.


Events archive

Details and resources from past events sponsored by PTAB or involving its members

If you have suggestions for other topics that you would like to see covered by the PTAB in a future Outreach event, please email your suggestions to <u>PTABOutreach@uspto.gov</u>. ✓

.

Slides





Resources

Board Trial Rules and Practice Guide/ Trial Rule

Procedures

2018 PTAB "Boardside Chat" Webinar Series

The PTAB is pleased to announce its "Boardside Chat" lunchtime webinar series to be held bi-monthly throughout 2018. The purpose of the Boardside Chats is to inform you on best practices before the Board as well as to address your questions regarding specific topics.

Please find below the currently scheduled Chats. All Chats are free and open to all. Also, all Chats will include time for attendee questions and comment.

Please join us for this learning opportunity and dialogue with the PTAB!

Date	Time	Торіс	Speakers
Wednesday, Nov. 7, 2018	Noon to 1 p.m. Eastern Time	Special Webinar on Several Recent Changes and Proposals for AIA Trials	Acting Chief Judge Scott Boalick Acting Deputy Chief Judge Jackie Bonilla Vice Chief Judge Tim Fink Vice Chief Judge Scott Weidenfeller
Thursday, Dec. 6, 2018		Hearsay & Authentication before the Board	Judge Tom Giannetti Judge Grace Obermann Judge Michael Zecher
Thursday, Feb. 7, 2019		Supplemental Information vs. Supplemental Evidence in AIA Trial Proceedings	Judge Michelle Ankenbrand Judge Trevor Jefferson Judge Phil Kauffman

Claim Construction Final Rule



Final Rule on Claim Construction in AIA Trials Background

- The Board currently construes unexpired patent claims and proposed claims in AIA trial proceedings using the BRI standard.
- On May 9, 2018, the USPTO issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to modify the claim construction standard used in AIA Trials.
- Individuals, associations, law firms, and corporations submitted a total of 374 comments on the proposed rule with a significant majority supporting the proposed change.

Final Rule on Claim Construction in AIA Trials What is the Final Rule?

- The Final Rule replaces the BRI standard in AIA trials with the federal court claim construction standard articulated in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc), and its progeny.
- PTAB will take into consideration any prior claim construction determination made in a civil action, or a proceeding before the International Trade Commission, if that prior claim construction is timely made of record.



Final Rule on Claim Construction in AIA Trials When does the Final Rule apply?

- The Final Rule is effective November 13, 2018.
- The Final Rule will not be retroactively applied and instead will apply only to IPR, PGR, and CBM petitions filed on or after November 13, 2018.




Final Rule on Claim Construction in AIA Trials Why change now?

- The rule change will lead, among other things, to greater consistency and harmonization with the federal courts and the ITC and lead to greater certainty and predictability in the patent system.
- Addresses the concern that potential unfairness could result from using an arguably broader standard in AIA trial proceedings.



Claim Construction Final Rule





Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.



Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.



Browse public final agency decisions of PTAB, including decisions designated as precedential or informative.



Claim Construction Final Rule NEW

SOP 1 (rev. 15):

Hearings

8

Systems

provide feedback.

Review guidance, schedules, and inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

PTAB Data Tools and IT

Stay informed regarding maintenance

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or



Resources and guidance

Learn about the Patent Trial and Appeal Board or find key policies, procedures, forms, and guidance.



Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB, including dispositions, pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.

Assignment of judges to panels **NEW**

SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure NEW

Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update NEW

PTAB Events

Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events sponsored by PTAB.

About PTAB


Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and

Claim Construction Final Rule URL:

https://www.uspto.gov/patents-applicationprocess/patent-trial-and-appealboard/procedures/ptab-issues-claim-construction



Claim Construction Final Rule



Trial Practice Guide

August 2018 Update NEW

platforms, subscribe for updates, or

provide feedback.

Resources and guidance Policies, procedures, rules, quides, tools and manuals associated with proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Boards. Expand all | Collapse all > Appeals Trials · Request for Comments on Motion to Amend Practice Claim Construction Final Rule AIA trial proceedings · Rules for AIA trials Umbrella Rules Inter Partes Review Post-Grant Review · Covered Business Method Review Derivation Trial Practice Guide (August 2012) Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update Precedential Cases Reexams and interferences Standard operating procedures > Guidance > Statutes, rules, and references Rulemaking FAQs

Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update




Trial Practice Guide: August 2018 Update

Guidance on

- Use of expert testimony
- Consideration of non-exclusive factors in determining whether to institute a trial
- Providing for sur-replies
- Distinction between motions to exclude and motions to strike
- Procedures for oral hearing, including live-testimony, sur-rebuttal, and default time
- Providing for pre-hearing conference and potential early resolution of issues



Trial Practice Guide: August 2018 Update





Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.



Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Resources and guidance

Learn about the Patent Trial and

Appeal Board or find key policies,

procedures, forms, and guidance.



Browse public final agency decisions of PTAB, including decisions designated as precedential or informative.

Request for Comments on Motion to Amend Practice NEW

Claim Construction Final Rule NEW

SOP 1 (rev. 15): Assignment of judges to panels **NEW**

SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure NEW

Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update NEW

Hearings

Systems

provide feedback.

Review guidance, schedules, and inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

PTAB Data Tools and IT

Stay informed regarding maintenance

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or



PTAB Events

Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events sponsored by PTAB.

Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB, including dispositions, pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.

About PTAB

Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and


Trial Practice Guide: August 2018 Update URL:

https://www.uspto.gov/patents-applicationprocess/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-trialpractice-quide-august-2018

Trial Practice Guide: August 2018 Update

Trial Practice Guide

August 2018 Update NEW




mission.

sponsored by PTAB.

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing

platforms, subscribe for updates, or

provide feedback.



Question/Comment Submission

To send in questions or comments during the webinar, please email:

PTABBoardsideChat@uspto.gov



SOP 1: Judge Panels




Standard Operating Procedure 1 September 2018 Update

- Explains long-standing practice for paneling appeals and trials
 - Considerations include technology, experience, and workload
 - Conflicts checked before paneling
- Explains why panels change and provides for new Panel Change Order for panels that change after first appearance in a case
 - Reasons are recusal, unavailability, and deadlines
- Explains how and when panels can be expanded
 - A large number of related cases involving different three judge panels can be expanded



Standard Operating Procedure 1





Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.

Appeals

Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Resources and guidance

Learn about the Patent Trial and

Appeal Board or find key policies,

procedures, forms, and guidance.



Decisions

Browse public final agency decisions of PTAB, including decisions designated as precedential or informative.



Claim Construction Final Rule NEW

Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB, including dispositions, pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.



SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure NEW

Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update NEW

Hearings

Review guidance, schedules, and inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

PTAB Data Tools and IT

provide feedback.

Stay informed regarding maintenance

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or



PTAB Events

Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events sponsored by PTAB.

\odot

About PTAB

Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and mission.

SOP1 URL:


https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/document s/SOP%201%20R15%20FINAL.pdf



Standard Operating Procedure 1

Trial Practice Guid

August 2018 Update



automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or

provide feedback.

Resources and guidance Policies, procedures, rules, guides, tools and manuals associated with proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Boards. Expand all | Collapse all Appeals > Trials Reexams and interferences Standard operating procedures SOP 1 (rev. 15): Assignment of judges to panels (20 Sept 2018) SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure (20 Sept 2018) • SOP 9 (rev. 1): Procedure for Decisions Remanded from the Federal Circuit Guidance Statutes, rules, and references Rulemaking FAQs

SOP 2: Precedential Opinion Panel; Designation or De-designation of Decisions



Standard Operating Procedure 2 September 2018 Update

- Provides new Precedential Opinion Panel (POP) for creating binding Board precedent on rehearing
 - By default: the Director, the Commissioner of Patents, and the Chief Judge
- Provides notice to the parties when POP review takes place, as well as the identification of the POP members in a particular case
- Explains the standards, procedures, and timing for requesting POP review in a pending case on rehearing
- Provides for designation and de-designation of precedential opinions by the Director



Standard Operating Procedure 2





Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.

Appeals

Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Resources and guidance

Learn about the Patent Trial and

Appeal Board or find key policies,

procedures, forms, and guidance.



Decisions

Browse public final agency decisions of PTAB, including decisions designated as precedential or informative.



Claim Construction Final Rule NEW

SOP 1 (rev. 15):
Assignment of judges to panels

SOP 2 (rev. 10):
Precedential opinion
panel to decide issues of
exceptional importance
involving policy or
procedure

Trial Practice Guide
August 2018 Update NEW



Review guidance, schedules, and inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

PTAB Data Tools and IT

provide feedback.

Stay informed regarding maintenance

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or



PTAB Events

Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events sponsored by PTAB.

Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB, including dispositions, pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.

•

About PTAB


Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and mission.

SOP2 URL:

https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/document s/SOP2%20R10%20FINAL.pdf



Standard Operating Procedure 2



automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or

provide feedback.

Resources and guidance

Policies, procedures, rules, guides, tools and manuals associated with proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Boards.



Expand all | Collapse all

- Appeals
 Trials
 Reexams and interferences
 Standard operating procedures
 SOP 1 (rev. 15): Assignment of judges to panels (20 Sept 2018)
 SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure (20 Sept 2018)
 SOP 9 (rev. 1): Procedure for Decisions Remanded from the Federal Circuit
- Guidance
- Statutes, rules, and references
- Rulemaking
- FAQs

Trial Practice Guid

August 2018 Update

Question/Comment Submission

To send in questions or comments during the webinar, please email:

PTABBoardsideChat@uspto.gov




Motion to Amend Practice



Motions to Amend Filed by Fiscal Year

(FY13 to FY18: 10/1/12 to 8/31/18)



Request for Comments (RFC) on Motion to Amend Practice

- Seeks public input on amendment practice in IPRs, PGRs, and CBM reviews
- Proposes a new motion to amend process and pilot program
- Seeks input regarding burden of persuasion after *Aqua Products*
- Goal is to address stakeholder concerns and provide an improved practice that is fair and balanced
- Comments due December 14, 2018
- Send comments by email to: <u>TrialRFC2018Amendments@uspto.gov</u>



Hallmarks of Proposed New Motion to Amend Process

- Occurs during (and as part of) AIA review
 - Both parties participate
 - Motion to amend (MTA) process completed within 12-month statutory deadline
- Board provides an initial assessment early in the process
 - Issues a non-binding Preliminary Decision addressing MTA and opposition
- Provides meaningful opportunity for PO to revise MTA thereafter
 - Second opportunity to amend after receiving information from petitioner and Board






Proposed New Motion to Amend Process


- MTA and opposition are filed earlier than in current process
 - -MTA is due 1.5 months after decision to institute
 - -Petitioner opposition is due 1.5 months after MTA
- Board issues a Preliminary Decision
 - -Issues 1 month after opposition is due
 - Provides an initial evaluation of both papers



Proposed Timeline for Proposed Motion to Amend Process



Overlay of Proposed MTA Process Timeline and AIA Trial Timeline



Proposed New Motion to Amend Process

Preliminary Decision

- Non-binding initial assessment based on record so far
 - Does not provide dispositive conclusions
 - Not binding on subsequent Board decisions, e.g., final written decision
- Assesses whether there is a reasonable likelihood that:
- 1) PO would prevail in establishing that MTA meets statutory and regulatory requirements—see 35 U.S.C. 316(d) or 326(d); 37 C.F.R. 42.121 or 42.221; and/or
- 2) Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of any proposed substitute claims

Proposed New Motion to Amend Process

- If Preliminary Decision determines there is a reasonable likelihood that:
 - PO would not prevail in establishing that MTA meets one or more statutory or regulatory requirements; and/or
 - Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of any proposed substitute claims
 - -PO may file (e.g., 1 month after Preliminary Decision):
 - Reply responding to opposition and Preliminary Decision;
 - Revised MTA



Revised MTA:

- May fix statutory or regulatory issues
- -May propose new substitute claims
- BUT . . . must provide amendments, arguments, and/or evidence in a manner that are responsive to issues raised in Preliminary Decision
- May not include amendments, arguments, and/or evidence that are unrelated to issues raised in Preliminary Decision or opposition
- Final written decision will address revised MTA and substitute claims therein

- If PO files revised MTA, petitioner may file:
 - Opposition to revised MTA (due 1 month later)
- If PO files reply, petitioner may file:
 - Sur-reply to reply (due 1 month later)
- If PO files a reply, rather than revised MTA, there will be only two papers filed by parties after Preliminary Decision (i.e., reply and sur-reply)



Opposition or Reply

 May be accompanied by new evidence that responds to new evidence or issues raised in Preliminary Decision, revised MTA, and/or opposition to MTA, as applicable

Sur-reply

- No new evidence other than deposition transcripts of crossexamination of a reply witness
- May only respond to arguments made in reply, comment on reply declaration testimony, and/or point to cross-examination testimony


- RFC discusses two alternative paths, depending on how PO responds to Preliminary Decision
 - Alternative 1 (discussed above)
 - -Alternative 2



- Alternative 1 (discussed above)
 - Applies if Preliminary Decision indicates a reasonable likelihood that
 MTA will be denied (entirely or in-part) for any reason
 - PO may file first paper (revised MTA or reply) in response to Preliminary Decision
 - Petitioner may file responsive paper (opposition or sur-reply, as applicable) thereafter
 - -Shown in Appendix A1 of RFC



Appendix A1 Proposed Timeline for Proposed Motion to Amend Process



Alternative 2

- Applies if:
 - Preliminary Decision indicates a reasonable likelihood that MTA will be granted in relation to all proposed substitute claims; or
 - PO chooses not to file a paper (revised MTA or reply) by due date after Preliminary Decision issues
- Petitioner may file first paper (reply) in response to Preliminary Decision
 - May be accompanied by new evidence that responds to new issues raised in Preliminary Decision, but may not raise new arguments of unpatentability not raised in opposition to MTA
- PO may file sur-reply thereafter
- If PO files no paper after Preliminary Decision, briefing schedule for reply and sur-reply thereafter may be accelerated

Cross-examinations/Depositions pertaining to MTA

 All cross-examinations/depositions of witnesses in relation to direct testimony (provided in declarations) occur after Preliminary Decision issues



- If petitioner ceases to participate altogether and Board proceeds
 - Board may solicit patent examiner assistance
 - E.g., from CRU examiner
 - Examiner advisory report, if solicited
 - Issues after MTA (in place of petitioner opposition)
 - Not binding and not a final determination on any legal conclusion
 - May assist PO and Board during AIA trial
 - PO may file a revised MTA or reply in response to examiner advisory report and Preliminary Decision

- Examiner may (if solicited by Board), e.g. in advisory report:
 - Assess whether MTA meets statutory and regulatory requirements and patentability of proposed substitute claims
 - Conduct prior art searches relevant to substitute claims—not original claims
 - Consider relevant papers of record, including evidence and declarations, but . . .
- Examiner would:
 - NOT consider cross-examination testimony, engage in witness credibility determinations, or address admissibility of evidence
 - NOT conduct interviews

Proposed Pilot of New MTA Process

- USPTO anticipates it will:
 - Implement pilot program shortly after comment period for RFC ends on December 14, 2018
 - Issue a public notice providing necessary additional details before implementation
 - -Conduct pilot program for at least 1 year, and may extend
 - Apply pilot program in all AIA trials involving MTA where Board issues decision to institute after pilot implementation date
 - Potentially modify pilot program over time in response to feedback and experience

Potential Rulemaking to Allocate Burden

- Western Digital Corp. v. SPEX Techs., Inc., Case IPR2018-00082 (Paper 13) (PTAB April 25, 2018) (informative)
 - The "burden of persuasion will ordinarily lie with the petitioner to show that any proposed substitute claims are unpatentable."
 - The "Board itself also may justify any finding of unpatentability by reference to evidence of record in the proceeding."
 - -"Thus, the Board determines whether substitute claims are unpatentable by a preponderance of the evidence based on the entirety of the record, including any opposition made by the petitioner."

Potential Rulemaking to Allocate Burden

- Should USPTO engage in rulemaking to allocate burden of persuasion regarding patentability of proposed substitute claims?
- If so, should Board allocate the burden as set forth in Western Digital?
- If so, under what circumstances should Board be able to justify findings of unpatentability?
 - Only if petitioner withdraws from proceeding?
 - -Any situations where petitioner remains in proceeding?



Request for Comments (RFC)

- Comments due December 14, 2018
- Send comments by email to:

TrialRFC2018Amendments@uspto.gov



Request for Comments - Motion to Amend Practice





Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.

Appeals

Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Decisions

Browse public final agency decisions of PTAB, including decisions designated as precedential or informative.



Claim Construction Final Rule NEW

SOP 1 (rev. 15): Assignment of judges to panels NEW

SOP 2 (rev. 10): Precedential opinion panel to decide issues of exceptional importance involving policy or procedure NEW

Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update NEW

Hearings

9

Systems

provide feedback.

Review guidance, schedules, and inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

PTAB Data Tools and IT

Stay informed regarding maintenance

events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or



Resources and guidance

Learn about the Patent Trial and Appeal Board or find key policies, procedures, forms, and guidance.



View performance benchmarks of the PTAB, including dispositions, pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.



PTAB Events

Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events sponsored by PTAB.

About PTAB


Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and

Request for Comments - Motion to Amend URL:

https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-<u>process/patent-trial-and-appeal-</u> board/resources/ptab-mta-rfc



Request for Comments - Motion to Amend Practice



Questions?


Question/Comment Submission

To send in questions or comments during the webinar, please email:


PTABBoardsideChat@uspto.gov



Slides








Petitions

event, please email your suggestions to PTABOutreach@uspto.gov. \(\simega)

Slides





Resources

Board Trial Rules and Practice Guide/ Trial Rule

Procedures

2018 PTAB "Boardside Chat" Webinar Series

The PTAB is pleased to announce its "Boardside Chat" lunchtime webinar series to be held bi-monthly throughout 2018. The purpose of the Boardside Chats is to inform you on best practices before the Board as well as to address your questions regarding specific topics.


Please find below the currently scheduled Chats. All Chats are free and open to all. Also, all Chats will include time for attendee questions and comment.

Please join us for this learning opportunity and dialogue with the PTAB!

Date	Time	Торіс	Speakers
Wednesday, Nov. 7, 2018	Noon to 1 p.m. Eastern Time	Special Webinar on Several Recent Changes and Proposals for AIA Trials	Acting Chief Judge Scott Boalick Acting Deputy Chief Judge Jackie Bonilla Vice Chief Judge Tim Fink Vice Chief Judge Scott Weidenfeller
Thursday, Dec. 6, 2018		Hearsay & Authentication before the Board	Judge Tom Giannetti Judge Grace Obermann Judge Michael Zecher
Thursday, Feb. 7, 2019		Supplemental Information vs. Supplemental Evidence in AIA Trial Proceedings	Judge Michelle Ankenbrand Judge Trevor Jefferson Judge Phil Kauffman

Subscription Center

https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USPTO/subscriber/new



Sign up to receive the latest news and updates from the USPTO conveniently via e-mail

uspto

Next Boardside Chat

- Thursday, December 6, 2018
- Noon to 1 pm ET
- Hearsay & Authentication before the Board
- Presenters:
 - Judge Tom Giannetti
 - Judge Grace Obermann
 - Lead Judge Michael Zecher



Thank You



